

24 Hihi

Description and geomorphology

Hihi is located at the southern end of Doubtless Bay, approximately 29 km east of Kaitaia.

The site is approximately 1.3 km long situated between Rangitoto Point in the south and Waitetoki Point in the north.

The northern 200 m of the site comprises a boulder beach shoreline backed by Basaltic Allocthon rock (cell 24A). A rock revetment exists at the base of the cliff bank along the entire 200 m. Waiaua Stream cuts through the shoreline at the southern extent of this rock unit.

The next 400 m of shoreline comprises unconsolidated beach (cell 24C to 24E), of which approximately 150 m is currently fronted by a vertical grouted rock seawall. Another stream mouth is located within the unconsolidated beach which has a localised effect on shoreline position. A boat ramp is located on the northern side of this stream. A low crested seawall comprising cemented sand exists along the beach shoreline south of the stream mouth. The beach comprises fine sand and has a minimal berm above the high tide line. The dune crest in this area is approximately RL 2 to 4 m.

The remainder of the shoreline is characterised by a cliff shoreline comprising weak sedimentary Mudstone Conglomerate (Mangonui Formation). The cliff height rises up to RL 23 m at the southern extent of the site.

Local considerations

Erosion protection structures exist along approximately 450 m of the sandy beach shoreline located in the centre of the site. A boat ramp is situated on the northern side of the central stream.

Two streams enter the site and provide sediment and form small deltas that will affect wave patterns. The streams also locally lower the foreshore level adjacent to the mouth resulting in fluctuations of shoreline position in these areas over time. There is a greater level of uncertainty in these areas because fluvial processes also effect shoreline movement.



Site Photograph A (north – grouted rock seawall)



Site Photograph B (central beach dune area)



Site Photograph C (south – cliff shoreline)

The resulting hazard zones are dashed in these areas to reflect this uncertainty.

Coastal Erosion Hazard Assessment

The site is split into six cells based on differences in geomorphology, exposure and dune height.

Adopted component values are presented within Table 24-1. Long-term erosion rates for the basalt range from 0 to -0.1 m/year and for the Mangonui Formation Mudstone from 0.1 to 0.4 m/year. The beaches are generally also eroding at between -0.1 and -0.2 m/year.

Histograms of individual components and resultant CEHZ distances using a Monte Carlo technique are shown in Figure 24-3 to Figure 24-5. Coastal Erosion Hazard Zone widths are presented within Table 24-2 to 24-4 and Figure 24-7.

CEHZ1 values range from 21 to 29 m. CEHZ2 values range from 35 to 60 m. CEHZ3 values

range from 36 to 71 m. CEHZ's have been mapped in agreement with the calculated values. Note that at cell 24D an area with a length of 25 m have likely been reclaimed, with CEHZ offset from the most recent shoreline.

For cell 24A, 24B and 24F the cliff projection method has been adopted with future shoreline distances shown in Figure 24-1, Figure 24-2, Figure 24-6 and Table 24-2 to 24-4 instead of CEHZ distances. The future cliff toe distances range from 3 to 19 m to 2080 and 10 to 80 m to 2130.

Figure 24-8 shows the available historic shorelines for Hihi.

Table 24-1 Component values for Erosion Hazard Assessment

Site		24. Hihi					
Cell		24A ¹	24B ¹	24C ²	24D ²	24E ²	24F ¹
Cell centre (NZTM)	E	1649112	1649139	1649139	1649127	1649095	1648952
	N	6130425	6130298	6130180	6130066	6129966	6129725
Chainage, m (from N/W)		0-230	230-310	310-450	450-560	560-690	690-1250
Morphology		Basalt	Soft Cliff	Dune	Dune	Dune	Mangonui mudstone
Short-term (m)	Min	0	0	5	5	5	0
	Mode	0	0	10	10	10	0
	Max	0	0	15	15	15	0
Dune/Cliff elevation (m above toe or scarp)	Min	3.3	3.5	2.0	1.7	2.2	15.2
	Mode	5.6	6.1	2.5	2.0	3.1	17.9
	Max	7.3	8.8	2.9	2.4	3.5	22.3
Stable angle (deg)	Min	45	26.6	30	30	30	18.4
	Mode	57.5	30.2	32	32	32	22.5
	Max	70	33.7	34	34	34	26.6
Long-term (m) -ve erosion +ve accretion	Min	0	-0.02	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
	Mode	-0.05	-0.05	-0.15	-0.15	-0.15	-0.2
	Max	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.4
Closure slope (beaches)	Min	0.25	0.75	0.75	0.05	0.05	0.75
	Mode	0.125	0.5	0.5	0.034	0.034	0.5
	Max	0	0.25	0.25	0.025	0.025	0.25
SLR 2080 (m)	RCP 2.6	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16
	RCP 4.5	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21
	RCP 8.5M	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33
	RCP 8.5H+	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51

Site		24. Hihi					
Cell		24A ¹	24B ¹	24C ²	24D ²	24E ²	24F ¹
SLR 2130 (m)	RCP 2.6	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28
	RCP 4.5	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42
	RCP 8.5M	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
	RCP 8.5H+	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.17

¹Cliff projection method has been used, so distance to future cliff toe position has been tabulated. Actual CEHZ width will be greater depending on cliff height and stable slope angle.

²CEHZ0 included behind coastal protection structure.




Figure 24-1 Histograms of parameter samples and the resultant shoreline distances for 2020, 2080 and 2130 timeframes for cell 24A




Figure 24-2 Histograms of parameter samples and the resultant shoreline distances for 2020, 2080 and 2130 timeframes for cell 24B




Figure 24-3 Histograms of parameter samples and the resultant shoreline distances for 2020, 2080 and 2130 timeframes for cell 24C




Figure 24-4 Histograms of parameter samples and the resultant shoreline distances for 2020, 2080 and 2130 timeframes for cell 24D




Figure 24-5 Histograms of parameter samples and the resultant shoreline distances for 2020, 2080 and 2130 timeframes for cell 24E




Figure 24-6 Histograms of parameter samples and the resultant shoreline distances for 2020, 2080 and 2130 timeframes for cell 24F

Table 24-2 Coastal Erosion Hazard Zone Widths for 2020

Site		24. Hihi					
Probability of CEHZ (m) Exceedance		A*	B*	C	D	E	F*
	Min	0	0	-7	-7	-7	0
	99%	0	0	-8	-7	-8	0
	95%	0	0	-9	-8	-9	0
	90%	0	0	-9	-9	-10	0
	80%	0	0	-10	-10	-10	0
	70%	0	0	-11	-10	-11	0
	66%	0	0	-11	-11	-11	0
	60%	0	0	-11	-11	-12	0
	50%	0	0	-12	-12	-12	0
	40%	0	0	-12	-12	-13	0
	33%	0	0	-13	-13	-13	0
	30%	0	0	-13	-13	-13	0
	20%	0	0	-14	-13	-14	0
	10%	0	0	-15	-14	-15	0
	5%	0	0	-15	-15	-16	0
	1%	0	0	-16	-16	-17	0
Max	0	0	-17	-17	-18	0	

*Cliff projection method has been used, so cliff toe position has been tabulated, which has been assumed to be unchanged from the adopted 2019 baseline. Actual CEHZ width will be greater depending on cliff height and stable slope angle.

Table 24-3 Coastal Erosion Hazard Zone Widths projected for 2080

Site		24. Hihi																							
Cell		24A				24B				24C				24D				24E				24F			
RCP scenario		2.6	4.6	8.5	8.5+	2.6	4.6	8.5	8.5+	2.6	4.6	8.5	8.5+	2.6	4.6	8.5	8.5+	2.6	4.6	8.5	8.5+	2.6	4.6	8.5	8.5+
Probability of CEHZ (m) Exceedance	Min	0	0	0	0	-1	-2	-2	-2	-14	-14	-14	-15	-18	-19	-22	-25	-18	-19	-22	-26	-7	-8	-9	-11
	99%	0	0	0	0	-2	-2	-2	-3	-16	-16	-16	-17	-20	-21	-24	-28	-20	-22	-25	-29	-8	-9	-11	-13
	95%	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	-2	-3	-4	-17	-17	-18	-18	-21	-22	-25	-30	-22	-23	-26	-31	-10	-11	-13	-16
	90%	-1	-1	-1	-1	-3	-3	-3	-4	-18	-18	-19	-19	-22	-23	-26	-31	-23	-24	-27	-32	-11	-12	-15	-17
	80%	-2	-2	-2	-2	-3	-3	-4	-5	-19	-19	-20	-20	-23	-24	-28	-32	-24	-25	-28	-33	-13	-14	-17	-20
	70%	-2	-2	-2	-3	-3	-4	-4	-5	-20	-20	-20	-21	-24	-25	-28	-33	-24	-26	-29	-34	-14	-16	-19	-22
	66%	-3	-3	-3	-3	-3	-4	-5	-6	-20	-20	-21	-21	-24	-25	-29	-34	-25	-26	-29	-34	-14	-16	-19	-23
	60%	-3	-3	-3	-3	-4	-4	-5	-6	-21	-21	-21	-21	-24	-26	-29	-34	-25	-27	-30	-35	-15	-17	-20	-24
	50%	-3	-3	-3	-3	-4	-4	-5	-6	-21	-21	-22	-22	-25	-26	-30	-35	-26	-27	-31	-36	-16	-18	-22	-26
	40%	-3	-3	-4	-4	-4	-5	-6	-7	-22	-22	-22	-23	-26	-27	-31	-36	-26	-28	-31	-36	-18	-20	-23	-28
	33%	-4	-4	-4	-4	-5	-5	-6	-7	-22	-23	-23	-23	-26	-28	-31	-36	-27	-28	-32	-37	-19	-21	-25	-30
	30%	-4	-4	-4	-4	-5	-5	-6	-7	-23	-23	-23	-23	-26	-28	-31	-37	-27	-29	-32	-37	-19	-21	-26	-30
	20%	-4	-4	-4	-4	-5	-6	-7	-8	-23	-23	-24	-24	-27	-29	-32	-38	-28	-29	-33	-38	-21	-23	-28	-33
	10%	-5	-5	-5	-5	-6	-6	-8	-9	-24	-25	-25	-25	-28	-30	-33	-39	-29	-31	-34	-40	-23	-26	-31	-37
	5%	-5	-5	-5	-5	-6	-7	-8	-10	-25	-25	-26	-26	-29	-31	-34	-40	-30	-31	-35	-41	-25	-28	-34	-40
	1%	-6	-6	-6	-6	-7	-8	-9	-11	-27	-27	-27	-27	-31	-32	-36	-42	-31	-33	-37	-43	-27	-30	-37	-44
Max	-6	-6	-6	-7	-7	-8	-10	-13	-28	-29	-29	-29	-33	-34	-39	-45	-34	-36	-40	-46	-29	-33	-42	-52	
CEHZ1	-3*				-5*				-21				-29				-29				-19*				

*Cliff projection method has been used, so distance to future cliff toe position has been tabulated. Actual CEHZ width will be greater depending on cliff height and stable slope angle.

Table 24-4 Coastal Erosion Hazard Zone Widths projected for 2130

Site		24. Hihi																							
Cell		24A				24B				24C				24D				24E				24F			
RCP scenario		2.6	4.6	8.5	8.5+	2.6	4.6	8.5	8.5+	2.6	4.6	8.5	8.5+	2.6	4.6	8.5	8.5+	2.6	4.6	8.5	8.5+	2.6	4.6	8.5	8.5+
Probability of CEHZ (m) Exceedance	Min	0	0	0	0	-3	-3	-4	-4	-20	-20	-21	-21	-25	-28	-37	-44	-26	-29	-39	-46	-13	-15	-19	-21
	99%	-1	-1	-1	-1	-3	-4	-5	-6	-22	-22	-23	-24	-29	-32	-42	-49	-29	-33	-43	-50	-15	-18	-23	-26
	95%	-2	-2	-2	-2	-4	-5	-6	-7	-24	-24	-25	-26	-31	-34	-45	-52	-31	-35	-45	-53	-18	-21	-28	-31
	90%	-2	-3	-3	-3	-5	-5	-7	-8	-25	-25	-26	-27	-32	-35	-46	-54	-33	-36	-47	-55	-20	-23	-31	-35
	80%	-3	-4	-4	-4	-5	-6	-8	-10	-26	-27	-28	-28	-33	-37	-48	-56	-34	-38	-49	-57	-23	-27	-35	-40
	70%	-4	-4	-5	-5	-6	-7	-9	-11	-27	-28	-29	-29	-34	-38	-49	-58	-35	-39	-50	-59	-25	-29	-39	-44
	66%	-5	-5	-5	-5	-6	-7	-10	-11	-28	-28	-29	-30	-35	-38	-50	-58	-35	-39	-51	-59	-26	-30	-40	-46
	60%	-5	-5	-5	-5	-7	-8	-10	-12	-28	-29	-29	-30	-35	-39	-51	-59	-36	-40	-52	-60	-27	-32	-42	-48
	50%	-6	-6	-6	-6	-7	-8	-11	-13	-29	-29	-30	-31	-36	-40	-52	-61	-37	-41	-53	-62	-29	-34	-46	-52
	40%	-6	-6	-6	-7	-8	-9	-12	-14	-30	-30	-31	-32	-37	-41	-53	-62	-38	-42	-54	-63	-32	-37	-49	-56
	33%	-7	-7	-7	-7	-8	-10	-13	-15	-30	-31	-32	-32	-38	-42	-54	-63	-38	-42	-55	-64	-34	-39	-52	-60
	30%	-7	-7	-7	-7	-9	-10	-13	-15	-31	-31	-32	-33	-38	-42	-54	-64	-38	-43	-55	-65	-34	-40	-54	-61
	20%	-8	-8	-8	-8	-9	-11	-15	-17	-32	-32	-33	-34	-39	-43	-56	-66	-40	-44	-57	-67	-37	-44	-59	-67
	10%	-9	-9	-9	-9	-10	-12	-16	-19	-33	-33	-34	-35	-40	-44	-58	-68	-41	-45	-59	-69	-42	-49	-65	-75
	5%	-9	-10	-10	-10	-11	-13	-18	-20	-34	-34	-35	-36	-41	-46	-60	-70	-42	-46	-60	-71	-45	-53	-70	-80
	1%	-10	-11	-11	-11	-12	-14	-19	-22	-36	-36	-37	-38	-43	-48	-63	-74	-44	-49	-64	-75	-49	-58	-78	-90
	Max	-11	-12	-12	-13	-13	-16	-22	-26	-38	-39	-40	-40	-47	-52	-67	-79	-47	-52	-68	-80	-53	-64	-89	-104
CEHZ2	-10*				-18*				-35				-60				-60				-70*				
CEHZ3	-10*				-20*				-36				-70				-71				-80*				

*Cliff projection method has been used, so distance to future cliff toe position has been tabulated. Actual CEHZ width will be greater depending on cliff height and stable slope angle.

Path: P:\1012360\WorkingMaterial\GIS\CEHZ maps\1012360_CEHZ001_v2.mxd Date: 29/05/2020 Time: 6:09:24 am



LEGEND

- - - 2019 Shoreline
- Erosion Protection Structures
- ↔ Cell Extent
- CEHZ0
- CEHZ1
- CEHZ2
- CEHZ3

Notes: Dashed CEHZ indicates greater uncertainty around stream mouths and backshore topography. Northland 0.4m Rural Aerial Photos (2014-2016).

A4 SCALE 1:6,000



Tonkin+Taylor

105 Carlton Gore Rd, Newmarket, Auckland
www.tonkintaylor.co.nz

DRAWN	JJOU	May.20
CHECKED		
APPROVED		
ARCFIELD		
1012360_CEHZ001_v2.mxd		
SCALE (AT A4 SIZE)		
1:6,000		
PROJECT No.	1012360	

NORTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL
Coastal Erosion Hazard Assessment
Hihi
Site: 24

FIGURE No. **Figure 24-7**

Rev. **1**

Path: P:\1012360\WorkingMaterial\GIS\CEHZ_maps\1012360_Historicv2.mxd Date: 9/06/2020 Time: 9:26:26 AM



LEGEND

↔ Cell Extent

- - - 2019 Shoreline

Historic Shorelines

- 2013/11/14
- 2006/03/30
- 2000/03/04
- 1999/05/15
- 1981/10/28
- 1948/04/09

Notes: Dashed CEHZ indicates greater uncertainty around stream mouths and backshore topography. Northland 0.4m Rural Aerial Photos (2014-2016).



Tonkin+Taylor

105 Carlton Gore Rd, Newmarket, Auckland
www.tonkintaylor.co.nz

DRAWN	JJOU	Jun.20
CHECKED		
APPROVED		
ARCFILE		
1012360_Historicv2.mxd		
SCALE (AT A4 SIZE)		
1:6,000		
PROJECT No.	1012360	

NORTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL
Historic Shorelines
Hihi
Site: 24

FIGURE No.	Figure 24-8	Rev.	1
------------	-------------	------	---